



Service Area: Community Safety Service	Section: Community Safety	Date of Initial assessment: 25 th October 2023	t: assessment:		Date assessment commenced: 25th October 2023	
Name of Policy to be assessed:		Dog Control Public Spaces Protection Order 2023				
In what area are there concerns that the policy could have a differential impact		Race Disal		ility Age		
		Gender reassignment		Religion or Belief		Sexual Orientation
		Sex		Pregnancy and Maternity		Marriage & Civil Partnership
Other strategic/ equalities considerations		Safeguarding Children and adu		Mental Wellbeing/ Community Resilience		
2. Background: Give the background information to the policy and the perceived problems with the policy which are the reason for the Impact Assessment. Oxford City Council is considering the removal or extension of the Public Spaces Protection address dog control in Oxford. A breach of the order is a criminal offence that can result offender being reported to the court or the breach being discharged through a £100 Fixed Notice. Restrictions on the proposed behaviours may have an impact on protected characteristic strategic equalities considerations, in particular the safeguarding of children and vulnerab mental well-being and community resilience, and disability. The impact on all factors has considered. The assessment makes due regard to whether implementation of the order will:			I offence that can result in the ed through a £100 Fixed Penalty protected characteristics or other of children and vulnerable adults, mpact on all factors has been			

	 Eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited under the Equalities Act; Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it; Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it. 			
3. Methodology and Sources of Data: The methods used to collect data and what sources of data	Data used to identify the types of behaviours within the proposed PSPO has come from the City Council and police databases concerning dangerous dogs, dog fouling, and dogs out of control of the owner. Use of the PSPO powers and advice given will be recorded in pocket note books and on council databases. The information will be analysed to determine whether the implementation of the powers has had a disproportionate effect upon the equality factors.			
 4. Consultation This section should outline all the consultation that has taken place on the EIA. It should include the following. Why you carried out the consultation. Details about how you went about it. A summary of the replies you received from people you consulted. 	Implementation of a Public Spaces Protection Order requires public consultation as set out in the Anti-Social Behaviour Crime and Policing Act 2014. Consultation responses gave rise to concerns over: Dog fouling Dogs on leads The number of dogs in a person's control Dogs in children's play parks Please refer to the consultation report at Appendix 2 and 3 of the attached Cabinet report.			

5. Assessment

- An assessment of your proposed policy (or policy options) in the light of the responses you received.
- A statement of what you plan to do next

Nothing in the proposed Order shall apply to a person who –

- a) Is registered as a blind person on a register compiled under section 29 or the National Assistance Act 1948; or
- b) Is deaf, in respect of a dog trained by Hearing Dogs for Deaf People (register charity number 293358) and upon which the person relies for assistance; or
- c) Has a physical or mental impairment which has a substantial and long term adverse effect on the ability to carry out common day-to-day activities in respect of a dog trained by any current or future members of Assistance Dos UK, or any other charity registered in the UK with a purpose of training assistance dogs and upon which the person relies for assistance
- d) Has a physical or mental impairment which has a substantial and long term adverse effect on the ability to carry out normal day-to-day activities and in the reasonable opinion of the Council that person relies upon the assistance of the dog in connection with their disability.

Dog fouling

The most common complaint relating to dogs is fouling of the footpath and the associated public health risks, such as toxocariasis. Children are more likely to touch affected soil or faeces, increasing the risk of illness.

Addressing dog fouling with have a positive impact on people with physical disabilities including those with restricted movement.

Race	Disability	Age	
Neutral	Positive	Positive	
Gender reassignment	Religion or Belief	Sexual Orientation	
Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	
Sex	Pregnancy and Maternity	Marriage & Civil Partnership	
Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	

Children are more likely to be afraid of dogs they don't know.

Children and adults with a disability will be able to use the play parks without having concerns about dogs either running around the park, dog faeces, or leads being trip hazards.

Race	Disability	Age
Neutral	Positive	Positive
Gender reassignment	Religion or Belief	Sexual Orientation
Neutral	Neutral	Neutral
Sex	Pregnancy and Maternity	Marriage & Civil Partnership
Neutral	Neutral	Neutral

Dogs on leads by order

Enabling officers to direct dog owners to place their dogs on a lead will have a positive impact on those whose disability may mean they cannot protect themselves from a dog out of the owner's control. This also applies to children, young people and the elderly.

Race	Disability	Age
Neutral	Positive	Positive
Gender reassignment	Religion or Belief	Sexual Orientation
Neutral	Neutral	Neutral
Sex	Pregnancy and Maternity	Marriage & Civil Partnership
Neutral	Neutral	Neutral

Restricting the number of dogs under the control of a single person

Multiple dogs under the responsibility of a single person can result in dogs not being under control. This is particularly the case if they are not on a lead. Dogs on or off leads in large groups may mean

	people with a disability cannot protect themselves. This also applies to children, young people and the elderly.				
	Race	Disability	Age		
	Neutral	Positive	Positive		
	Gender reassignment	Religion or Belief	Sexual Orientation		
	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral		
	Sex	Pregnancy and Maternity	Marriage & Civil Partnership		
	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral		
6. Consideration of Measures: This section should explain in detail all the consideration of alternative approaches/mitigation of adverse impact of the policy	No adverse impacts of the policy The measures are proportionate	responses to problems caused b	y dogs and irresponsible owners.		
6a. Monitoring Arrangements: Outline systems which will be put in place to monitor for adverse impact in the future and this should include all relevant timetables. In addition it could include a summary and assessment of your monitoring, making clear whether you found any evidence of discrimination.	Council's ASB Enforcement Police	cy.	ent actions in accordance with the		
7. Date reported and signed off by Cabinet:	December 13 th 2023				

8. Conclusions: What are your conclusions draftom the results in terms of the impact	The introduction of the Dog Control PSPO will impact positively on the lives of people who live, work and visit the city, particularly those with disabilities, children, young people and the elderly. The Council's approach to enforcement is detailed in Oxford City Council's Anti-Social Behaviour Policy, available on the council's website.				
9. Are there implications for the Service Plans?	No	10. Date the Service Plans will be updated		11. Date copy sent to Equalities Officer	16 th October 2023
.13. Date reported to Scrutiny and Cabinet:	NA	14. Date reported to Cabinet:	13 th December 2023	12. The date the report on EqIA will be published	13 th December 2023

Signed (completing officer)

Please list the team members and service areas that were involved in this process:

Richard Adams, Service Manager Mili Kalia, Equality, Diversity, & Inclusion Lead